arXiv:0712.2053v1 [math.AG] 12 Dec 2007

EQUATIONS OF THE MODULI OF HIGGS PAIRS AND INFINITE GRASSMANNIAN.

D. HERNÁNDEZ-SERRANO
J. M. MUÑOZ PORRAS
F. J. PLAZA MARTÍN

Abstract. In this paper the moduli space of Higgs pairs over a fixed smooth projective curve with extra formal data is defined and it is endowed with a scheme structure. We introduce a relative version of the Krichever map using a fibration of Sato Grassmannians and show that this map is injective. This fact and the characterization of the points of the image of the Krichever map allow us to prove that this moduli space is a closed subscheme of the product of the moduli of vector bundles (with formal extra data) and a formal anologue of the Hitchin base. This characterization also provide us the method to compute explicitely KP-type equations which describe the moduli space of Higgs pairs. Finally, for the case where the spectral cover is totally ramified at a fixed point of the curve, these equations are given in terms of the characteristic coefficients of the Higgs field.

1. Introduction.

In 1988, Professor Hitchin studied ([5]) the symplectic geometry of the cotangent space $\mathrm{T}^*\mathcal{U}_X$ to the moduli space $\mathcal{U}_X$ of vector bundles over a compact Riemann surface X, its points led him to introduce a new and nowadays relevant concept: the notion of Higgs pairs. From the point of view of the Sympletic Geometry, the map from $\mathrm{T}^*\mathcal{U}_X$ to an affine space of global sections (now called Hitchin map) turned out to be an algebraically completely integrable Hamiltonian system, fact that translates in Algebraic Geometry by saying that the fibers of the Hitchin map are Jacobians of a special curve covering X (the spectral curve). As the author says in [5], one question is left: how to realize the Hamiltonian differential equations in some concrete way?

Bearing in mind this question, Li and Mulase have partially solved the problem in [6] using techniques of infinite integrable systems. They used the infinite Sato Grassmannian as a space of solutions for the KP system ([12]) and have answered the question of how to recover the Hitchin system from the KP system in the case for which the spectral cover is not ramified. At the same period and with similar tools (Krichever morphism, Sato

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14H60, 37K10 (Primary) 14H10, 14H70, 58B99 (Secondary).

Key words: Higgs Pairs, spectral curves, infinite Grassmannians.

This work is partially supported by the research contracts MTM2006-0768 of DGI and SA112A07 of JCyL. The first author is also supported by MTM2006-04779.

E-mail addresses: dani@usal.es, jmp@usal.es, fplaza@usal.es.

Grassmannian, ...), Donagi and Markman have also studied this topic in [4] with full generallity, they have shown certain compatibility for KP flows concerning spectral data coming from Higgs pairs on X. As far as we know, concrete equations describing this moduli space (and allowing ramification in the spectral cover) remains to be given. In this paper we try to solve this question.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 few words about the classical correspondence between Higgs pairs and line bundles over the spectral curve are said. Section 3 is devoted to the study of the Krichever map for the moduli space of Higgs pairs with extra formal data, $\mathcal{H}iggs_X^\infty$. For this goal, an alternative method to that of [6] and [4] is proposed: to use a fibration of infinite Sato Grassmannians (over a formal analogue $\mathbb{A}$ of the Hitchin base) and generalize the Krichever morphism. Theorem 3.9 proves that $\mathcal{H}iggs_X^\infty$ is representable. Theorem 3.12 shows that the Krichever map is inyective. This fact, combined with the characterization of the image of the Krichever map (theorem 3.17) allow us to give the first main result: $\mathcal{H}iggs_X^\infty$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathcal{U}_X^\infty \times \mathbb{A}$ (theorem 3.18, where $\mathcal{U}_X^\infty$ is the moduli scheme of vector bundles over X with formal extra data). Section 4 gives the spectral construction for the above topics defining a relative Grassmannian. Finally, in section 5 the sencond main result is given, theorem 5.22 provides concrete KP-type equations describing $\mathcal{H}iggs_X^\infty$ in terms of bilinear identities of Baker-Akhiezer functions and, for the connected component of $\mathcal{H}iggs_X^\infty$ on which the spectral cover is totally ramified, equations are explicitely computed in terms of the characteristic coefficients of the Higgs field (theorem 5.23).

2. PRELIMINARIES.

Denote $\mathcal{U}_X$ the moduli stack of rank n vector bundles over a projective, smooth curve X of genus g. Recall that a Higgs pair on X consists of a vector bundle $E \in \mathcal{U}_X$ and a morphism of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules:

$$\varphi \colon E \to E \otimes \omega_X \,.$$

It is known ([4]):

$$\mathrm{T}^*_E \mathcal{U}_X \simeq \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{E}\mathrm{nd}_X E \otimes \omega_X)\,.$$

and therefore, the cotangent space to $\mathcal{U}_X$ parametrizes Higgs pairs on X.

A remarkable fact is the existence of the Hitchin map:

$$\begin{aligned} H\colon \mathrm{T}^*\mathcal{U} &\to \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathrm{H}^0(X, \omega_X^i) \\ (E,\varphi) &\mapsto \mathrm{ch}(\varphi) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathrm{ch}(\varphi) = \big(\mathrm{Tr}(\varphi), \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda^2\varphi), \dots, \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda^n\varphi)\big)$$

are the characteristic coefficients of φ.

Another relevant issue is the spectral construction, whose importance lies on the fact that, for a generic $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathrm{H}^0(X, \omega_X^i)$, the points of $H^{-1}(s)$ corresponds to line bundles on the so called spectral curve X_s. We briefely describe its definition ([5],[3],[14]): Consider the cotangent bundle:

$$\mathrm{T}^* X := \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{S}^\bullet \omega_X^{-1}$$

where $\mathcal{S}^\bullet \omega_X^{-1}$ is the sheaf of symmetric algebras on X. Let $\mathcal{I}_s$ be the sheaf of ideals of $\mathcal{S}^\bullet \omega_X^{-1}$ generated by the image of:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_X^{-n} &\to \mathcal{S}^\bullet \omega_X^{-1} = \oplus_{i\geq 0} \omega_X^{-1} \\ \xi &\mapsto (\xi \otimes s_n, \xi \otimes s_{n-1}, \dots, \xi \otimes s_1, \xi, 0, 0, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

The quotient $\mathcal{S}^\bullet \omega_X^{-1}/\mathcal{I}_s$ is a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras and the spectral curve is defined as the zeros of $\mathcal{I}_s$ in $\mathrm{T}^* X$, that is:

$$X_s := \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{S}^\bullet \omega_X^{-1}/\mathcal{I}_s$$

The map:

$$\pi \colon X_s \to X$$

is a finite covering of degree n, where n is the rank of E and for generic s, X_s is non singular.

The bijection between $\mathrm{J}(X_s)$ and $H^{-1}(s)$ (where we denote the Jacobian of X_s by $\mathrm{J}(X_s)$) is the following:

We can think of the Higgs field φ as a morphism:

$$\omega_X^{-1} \to E \otimes E^*$$

equivalentely, as a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras

$$\mathcal{S}^\bullet \omega_X^{-1} \to E \otimes E^* \,.$$

Hamilton-Cayley theorem implies that this morphism factorizes by $\mathcal{O}_{X_s} = \mathcal{S}^\bullet \omega_X^{-1}/\mathcal{I}_s$ if and only if $\mathrm{ch}(\varphi) = s$. So given a point in $H^{-1}(s)$, that is, given (E, φ) such that $\mathrm{ch}(\varphi) = s$, E can be thought as a line bundle L on X_s (assuming smoothness) and $\pi_* L \xrightarrow{\sim} E$. The converse is analogous.

3. Moduli of Higgs pairs with extra formal data.

We will work over the field of complex numbers and will denote it by k. We will assume as fixed, once and for all, the following data:

- X smooth, projective, integral curve over k.
- $x \in X$.
- $t \colon \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \xrightarrow{\sim} k[[z]]$ a formal trivialization of X at x.

We want to consider a moduli problem parametrising:

- A rank n vector bundle on X, E.
- A Higgs field $\varphi \colon E \to E \otimes \omega_X$.
- A formal trivialization ϕ of E on x, i.e. $\phi \colon \widehat{E}_x \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}^{\oplus n}$

We will see that certain compatibility between ϕ and φ have to be imposed.

Definition 3.1. We call *formal Higgs field* to the morphism of sheaves of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$-modules:

$$\widehat{\varphi} \colon \widehat{E}_x \to \widehat{E}_x \otimes \widehat{\omega}_{X,x}$$

induced by the completion along x. The coefficients a_i of the characteristic polynomial $p_{\widehat{\varphi}}(T)$ of $\widehat{\varphi}$ lie on $\mathrm{H}^0(\widehat{X}_x, \widehat{\omega}_{X,x}^{\otimes i})$.

Since X is smooh, the dualising sheaf ω_X is a line bundle and formal trivialization t induces a formal trivialization of ω_X at x:

$$dt\colon \widehat{\omega}_{X,x} \xrightarrow{\sim} k[[z]]dz \tag{3.1}$$

(we will forget about the generator dz). Then, the coefficients of the polynomial $p_{\widehat{\varphi}}(T)$ lies on $k[[z]]$. Let us now introduce a k-scheme parametrising these coefficients.

Let $\mathbb{A}^\infty$ be the infinite dimensional affine group scheme over k with the addition group law, that is ([2, Def. 4.13]):

$$\mathbb{A}^\infty := \operatorname{Spec} \varinjlim_{l} k[y_0, \dots, y_l] = \operatorname{Spec} k[y_0, y_1, \dots]$$

Let R be a k-algebra. The correspondence:

$$s_0 + s_1 z + \cdots \in R[[z]] \leftrightarrow (s_0, s_1, \dots) \in \mathbb{A}^\infty(R)$$

gives the following:

Proposition 3.2. *The functor, $\widetilde{k[[z]]}$, that associates to each k-algebra R the ring of formal power series $R[[z]]$, is representable by $\mathbb{A}^\infty$.*

Proposition 3.3. *The functor on the category of k-schemes defined by:*

$$S \rightsquigarrow \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathrm{H}^0(\widehat{X}_x \times S, \widehat{\omega}_{x\times S}^{\otimes i})$$

is representable by the k-scheme $\mathbb{A} = (\mathbb{A}^\infty)^n$.

Remark 3.4. We will think of the points of $\mathbb{A}$ with values in S as polynomials

$$T^n + a_1 T^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$$

where $a_i \in \mathrm{H}^0(\widehat{X}_x \times S, \widehat{\omega}_{x\times S}^{\otimes i})$.

Definition 3.5. We define the functor $\mathcal{H}iggs_X^\infty$ as the sheafication of:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{k-sch} &\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C}_{sets} \\ S &\mapsto \{(E, \phi, \varphi)\}/\sim \end{aligned}$$

where

1. E is a rank n vector bundle over $X \times S$.
2. $\varphi\colon E \to E \otimes \omega_{X\times S/S}$ is a relative Higgs field, that is, a morphism of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{X\times S}$-modules.
3. ϕ is a formal trivialization of E along $x \times S$

   $$\phi\colon \widehat{E}_{x\times S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{x\times S}^{\oplus n}$$

   such that there exists a matrix

   $$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 0 & (-1)^{n+1}a_n \\ 1 & \cdot & & & \cdot & (-1)^n a_{n-1} \\ \cdot & 1 & \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & \cdot & 0 & -a_2 \\ 0 & \cdot & & 0 & 1 & a_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(where $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}(S)$) making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{E}_{x\times S} \arrow[r, "\widehat{\varphi}"] \arrow[d, "\phi"', "\wr"] & \widehat{E}_{x\times S} \otimes \widehat{\omega}_{x\times S} \arrow[d, "\wr"', "\phi\otimes dt"] \\
\mathcal{O}_S[[z]]^n \arrow[r, "M"] & \mathcal{O}_S[[z]]^n
\end{tikzcd}$$

(4) Two triples (E, φ, ϕ) and (E', φ', ϕ') are said to be equivalent whenever there exists an isomorphism of vector bundles $f\colon E \xrightarrow{\sim} E'$ compatible with all data, that is:
  - f is compatible with ϕ and ϕ', so the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{E}_{x\times S} \arrow[rr, "\sim"] \arrow[dr, "\phi"'] & & \widehat{E}'_{x\times S} \arrow[dl, "\phi'"] \\
& \mathcal{O}_S[[z]]^n &
\end{tikzcd}$$

  - f is compatible with φ and φ', that is, the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E \arrow[r, "\varphi"] \arrow[d, "f"', "\wr"] & E \otimes \omega \arrow[d, "\wr"', "f\otimes \mathrm{Id}"] \\
E' \arrow[r, "\varphi'"] & E' \otimes \omega
\end{tikzcd}$$

  is commutative.

Remark 3.6. Given $(E, \phi, \varphi) \in \mathcal{H}iggs_X^\infty(S)$, the elements $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ of the third condition are forcely the characteristic coefficients of $\widehat{\varphi}$.

Remark 3.7. Whenever two S-valued points (E, φ, ϕ) and (E', φ', ϕ') are equivalent, the characteristic coefficients of both $\widehat{\varphi}$ and $\widehat{\varphi}'$ are the same. This implies the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E \arrow[rrr, "\varphi"] \arrow[dr] \arrow[dd, "\wr"] & & & E \otimes \omega \arrow[dl] \arrow[dd, "\wr"] \\
& \mathcal{O}_S[[z]]^n \arrow[r, "M"] & \mathcal{O}_S[[z]]^n & \\
E' \arrow[rrr, "\varphi'"] \arrow[ur] & & & E' \otimes \omega \arrow[ul]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Definition 3.8. The *formal Hitchin map* is the morphism:

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty \colon \mathcal{H}iggs_X^\infty \to \mathbb{A}$$

which associates to each triple $(E, \phi, \varphi) \in \mathcal{H}iggs_X^\infty(S)$ the characteristic coefficients

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}(S) \qquad\qquad a_i = \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda^i \widehat{\varphi})$$

of the formal Higgs field $\widehat{\varphi}$.

From now on, we will restrict to the open dense subset of $\mathbb{A}$ consisting of those polynomials $p(T) \in \mathbb{A}(S)$ such that $\mathcal{O}_S[[z]][T]/p(T)$ is a separable $\mathcal{O}_S[[z]]$-algebra.

Theorem 3.9. *The functor $\mathcal{H}iggs_X^\infty$ is representable by a k-scheme.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U}_X^\infty$ be the fine moduli space of rank n vector bundles on X with formal trivialization (see [1]). Then, there exists a universal object $(\mathcal{E}, \Phi)$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a rank n vector bundle on $X \times \mathcal{U}_X^\infty$ and Φ a formal trivialization of $\mathcal{E}$ along $\{x\} \times \mathcal{U}_X^\infty$.

Let $\mathbb{V}$ be the fiber bundle associated to the vector bundle on $X \times \mathcal{U}_X^\infty$:

$$\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X \times \mathcal{U}_X^\infty}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \otimes \omega)\,,$$

ω being the pullback of ω_X to $X \times \mathcal{U}_X^\infty$. Let $f\colon \mathbb{V} \to \mathcal{U}_X^\infty$ be the composition of the natural morphism $\mathbb{V} \to X \times \mathcal{U}_X^\infty$ with the projection onto $\mathcal{U}_X^\infty$.

Let $\mathcal{E}_\mathbb{V}$ be the vector bundle on $X \times \mathbb{V}$ defined by the pullback $(1 \times f)^*\mathcal{E}$, denote by

$$\Phi_\mathbb{V}\colon \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbb{V}, x \times \mathbb{V}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{V}[[z]]^n$$

the induced formal trivialization of $\mathcal{E}_\mathbb{V}$ along $x \times \mathbb{V}$ and let:

$$\varphi\colon \mathcal{E}_\mathbb{V} \to \mathcal{E}_\mathbb{V} \otimes \omega_\mathbb{V}$$

be the universal morphism.

One has that the points of $\mathbb{V}$ with values in a k-scheme S, $g\colon S \to \mathbb{V}$, are triples (E_S, φ_S, ϕ_S) where E_S is the pullback of $\mathcal{E}_\mathbb{V}$ to $X \times S$ by the morphism $1 \times g$, φ_S is the pullback of φ and ϕ_S is the pullback of the formal trivialization.

Bearing in mind the induced morphism:

$$\widehat{\varphi}\colon \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbb{V}, x \times \mathbb{V}} \to \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbb{V}, x \times \mathbb{V}} \otimes \widehat{\omega}_{\mathbb{V}, x \times \mathbb{V}}$$

it follows (see Definition 3.5) that the points of $\mathcal{H}iggs_X^\infty$ with values in S are points of $\mathbb{V}$ with values in S, (E_S, φ_S, ϕ_S), for which the composition $(\Phi_{\mathbb{V},S} \otimes dt_{\mathbb{V},S}) \circ \widehat{\varphi}_S \circ \Phi_{\mathbb{V},S}^{-1}$ is defined by a matrix of the type:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 0 & (-1)^{n+1}a_n \\ 1 & \cdot & & & \cdot & (-1)^n a_{n-1} \\ \cdot & 1 & \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & \cdot & 0 & -a_2 \\ 0 & \cdot & & 0 & 1 & a_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for arbitrary $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}(S)$. One can check that this is a closed condition. $\square$

3.1. **Krichever map.** Let us denote $V = k((z))^n$ and $V^+ = k[[z]]^n$. Recall that the infinite Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}(V)$ associated to the couple (V, V^+) is the infinte dimensional k-scheme whose rational points are k-vector subspaces W of V such that $W \cap V^+$ and $V/W + V^+$ are finite dimensional subspaces (see [2]).

Recall also ([1], [8]) that the Krichever map

$$\mathcal{U}_X^\infty \to \mathrm{Gr}(V)$$

is defined by sending (E, ϕ) to $(t \circ \phi) \varinjlim_m \pi_* E(m)$ and for rational points maps (E, ϕ) to $(t \circ \phi)\, \mathrm{H}^0(X - x, E)$.

Definition 3.10. Let (E,ϕ,φ) be a point of $\mathcal{H}iggs^{\infty}(S)$. The Krichever map for the functor $\mathcal{H}iggs^{\infty}_X$ is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}iggs^{\infty}_X(S) &\to \operatorname{Gr}(k((z))^n)(S)\times \mathbb{A}(S) \\ (E,\phi,\varphi) &\mapsto \big((t\circ\phi)\varinjlim_m \pi_* E(m), p_{\widehat{\varphi}}(T)\big) \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi\colon X\times S\to S$ and $p_{\widehat{\varphi}}(T)$ is the characteristic polynomial of the formal Higgs field $\widehat{\varphi}$.

Remark 3.11. For rational points:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}iggs^{\infty}_X(k) &\to \operatorname{Gr}(k((z))^n)(k)\times \mathbb{A}(k) \\ (E,\phi,\varphi) &\mapsto \big((t\circ\phi)\,\mathrm{H}^0(X-x,E), p_{\widehat{\varphi}}(T)\big) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.12. *The Krichever map is injective.*

Proof. Let (E,ϕ,φ) and (E',ϕ',φ') be two points in $\mathcal{H}iggs^{\infty}_X$ with values in the k-scheme S and assume that:

$$(t\circ\phi)\varinjlim_m \pi_* E(m) = (t\circ\phi')\varinjlim_m \pi_* E'(m)$$

$$p_{\widehat{\varphi}}(T) = p_{\widehat{\varphi}'}(T)\,.$$

Since Krichever map is injective for $\mathcal{U}^{\infty}_X$ (see [1],[8]), there exists an isomorphism $E \xrightarrow{\sim} E'$ compatible with formal trivializations ϕ and ϕ'. Because both families verifies the third condition of Definition 3.5 it follows that the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E \arrow[rrr, "\varphi"] \arrow[dr] \arrow[dd, "\wr"] & & & E\otimes\omega \arrow[dl] \arrow[dd, "\wr"] \\
& \mathcal{O}_S[[z]]^n \arrow[r, "M"] & \mathcal{O}_S[[z]]^n & \\
E' \arrow[rrr, "\varphi'"] \arrow[ur] & & & E'\otimes\omega \arrow[ul]
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative, therefore $(E,\phi,\varphi)\sim(E',\phi',\varphi')$ (see remark 3.7). □

Now we want to characterize the image of the Krichever map. It is known ([1, 8]) that the image of the Krichever map:

$$\mathcal{U}^{\infty}_X \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}(V)$$

consists of those points $W\in\operatorname{Gr}(V)$ such that $A\cdot W\subseteq W$ (where $A=\mathrm{H}^0(X-x,\mathcal{O}_X)$, see [10]). Since

$$\mathcal{H}iggs^{\infty}_X \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}(V)\times\mathbb{A}$$

takes values in $\mathcal{U}^{\infty}_X\times\mathbb{A}$, we are interested in studying the image of

$$\mathcal{H}iggs^{\infty}_X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\infty}_X\times\mathbb{A}\,.$$

Consider the natural map:

$$k((z))\otimes_k V \to V = k((z))\otimes_{k((z))} V\,.$$

If U and W are vector subspaces of $k((z))$ and V respectively, we denote $U\cdot W$ the image of $U\otimes_k W\to V$.

Proposition 3.13. *Take L be a line bundle on X and ϕ_L a formal trivialization of L at p. Consider $(E, \phi_E) \in \mathcal{U}_X^\infty(k)$ and let:*

$$W = \mathrm{H}^0(X-x, E) \in \mathrm{Gr}(V)(k)\,, \qquad U = \mathrm{H}^0(X-x, L) \in \mathrm{Gr}(k((z)))(k)$$

be the points they define via the Krichever map. Then:

$$U \cdot W = \mathrm{H}^0(X-x, L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} E) \in \mathrm{Gr}(V)(k)\,.$$

Proof. It follows from the surjectivity of

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X-x, L) \otimes_k \mathrm{H}^0(X-x, E) \to \mathrm{H}^0(X-x, L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} E)\,.$$

Recall that $X - x = \operatorname{Spec} A$ is an affine open subset of X. □

One can easily generalizes the above result for points with values in any k-scheme S. This implies that we can define the following morphism of schemes:

$$\begin{aligned} h_U \colon \mathcal{U}_X^\infty &\to \mathcal{U}_X^\infty \\ W &\mapsto U \cdot W \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.14. Note that in general we don't have a well-defined scheme morphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Gr}(k((z))) \times \mathrm{Gr}(V) &\to \mathrm{Gr}(V) \\ (U, W) &\mapsto U \cdot W \end{aligned}$$

Let $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be a point in $\mathbb{A}$ with values in S and let T be the endomorphism given by the matrix:

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 0 & (-1)^{n+1}a_n \\ 1 & \cdot & & & \cdot & (-1)^n a_{n-1} \\ \cdot & 1 & \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & \cdot & 0 & -a_2 \\ 0 & \cdot & & 0 & 1 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{O}_S((z))} \mathcal{O}_S((z))^n \tag{3.2}$$

Definition 3.15. Let $W \in Gr(V)(S)$ be a point with values in S. A sub-$\mathcal{O}_S$-algebra A of $\mathcal{O}_S((z))$ is said to *stabilize* W if it verifies:

(1) $\mathcal{O}_S((z))/A$ is flat over S.
(2) $A \cdot W \subseteq W$.

The *stabilizer algebra* of W is the maximum subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_S((z))$, A_W, which stabilizes W.

If $S = \operatorname{Spec} k$ is the spectrum of a field k, flatness is straighforward and the stabilizer algebra coincides with those of [8]:

$$A_W = \{f \in k((z)) | f \cdot W \subseteq W\}\,.$$

Proposition 3.16. *Let S be a k-scheme and $A_S := \mathrm{H}^0(X-x, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_k S$. Given $W \in \mathcal{U}_X^\infty(S)$ it holds:*

(1) *$T(W) \in \mathrm{Gr}(V)(S)$.*
(2) *$T(W)$ is A_S-module.*
(3) *$A_{T(W)} = A_W = A_S \in \mathrm{Gr}(k((z)))(S)$.*

In particular, $T(W) \in \mathcal{U}_X^\infty(S)$.

Proof. It follows from the $\mathcal{O}_S((z))$-linearity of T and the properties of the stabilizer algebra A_W of W. □

We can now define another endomorphism of $\mathcal{U}_X^\infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} T\colon \mathcal{U}_X^\infty &\to \mathcal{U}_X^\infty \\ W &\mapsto T(W) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.17. *Let Ω be the point in $\operatorname{Gr}(k((z)))(S)$ defined by the relative canonical sheaf $\omega_{X\times S/S}$.*

A couple $(W, p(T)) \in (\mathcal{U}_X^\infty \times \mathbb{A})(S)$ lies on the image of the morphism

$$\mathcal{H}iggs_X^\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}_X^\infty \times \mathbb{A}$$

if and only if the restriction of T (equation 3.2) to W takes values into $W\cdot\Omega$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{O}_S((z))^n \arrow[r, "T"] & \mathcal{O}_S((z))^n \\ W \arrow[u, hook] \arrow[r, "T_{|W}"] & W\cdot\Omega \arrow[u, hook] \end{tikzcd}$$

For rational points this condition translates into $T(W) \subseteq W\cdot\Omega$.

Proof. Direct implication follows from the definition of the Krichever morphism. Let's see how to recover the geometric data from $(W, p(T)) \in \mathcal{U}_X^\infty(S)\times\mathbb{A}(S)$. By hypothesis, $T_{|W}$ takes values in $W\cdot\Omega$ and we already know that $W \in \mathcal{U}_X^\infty(S)$, so we can recover a rank n vector bundle on $X\times S$ together with its formal trivialization ϕ (see [1], [8]). By Proposition 3.13 we have that $W\cdot\Omega$ is the point in $\operatorname{Gr}(k((z))^n)(S)$ defined by $E\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\omega_{X\times S/S}$, that is:

$$W\cdot\Omega = \varinjlim_m \pi_{S,*}(E\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\omega_{X\times S/S})(m(x\times S))\,.$$

Therefore, $T_{|W}$ translate into the morphism:

$$T_{|W}\colon \varinjlim_m \pi_{S,*}E(m(x\times S)) \to \varinjlim_m \pi_{S,*}(E\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\omega_{X\times S/S})(m(x\times S))$$

where $\pi_S\colon X\times S\to S$. Thus, to recover the Higgs field

$$E \to E\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\omega_{X\times S/S}$$

we have to extend $T_{|W}$ to $x\times S$. But, this follows from the fact that T is $\mathcal{O}_S((z))$-linear (see equation 3.2). In addition, the compatibility condition between φ and ϕ is obtained by construction. □

This result allow us to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.18. *The functor $\mathcal{H}iggs_X^\infty$ is representable by a closed subscheme of $\mathcal{U}_X^\infty\times\mathbb{A}$.*

Proof. By 3.17, the image of:

$$\mathcal{H}iggs_X^\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}_X^\infty \times \mathbb{A}$$

consists of pairs $(W, p(T))$ such that $T_{|W}(W) \subseteq W\cdot\Omega$. By proposition 3.13 we have that $W\cdot\Omega \in \operatorname{Gr}(V)$. The statement then follows from the next result (see [1, Lemma 2.4.6]):

Let S be a k-scheme and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules. Let $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ be two quasi-coherent subsheaves of $\mathcal{F}$ such that locally $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}_2 \simeq \varprojlim_i L_i$, where L_i are free coherentsheaves. Then, the points $f\colon S' \to S$ such that $f^*(\mathcal{F}_1) \subseteq f^*(\mathcal{F}_2)$, as subsheaves of $f^*(\mathcal{F})$, are the points of a closed subset of S. □

4. Spectral Construction.

We call formal base curve to:

$$\widehat{X}_x := \operatorname{Spf} k[[z]]\,.$$

Definition 4.1. Let $p(T)$ be a point in $\mathbb{A}(S)$. We define the *formal spectral curve* associated to $(\widehat{X}, p(T))$ as:

$$\operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_S[[z]][T]/p(T)\,.$$

Let (E, ϕ, φ) be point in $\mathcal{H}iggs_X^\infty$ with values in S. Recall that the formal Hitchin map (see Definition 3.8):

$$\mathcal{H}^\infty \colon \mathcal{H}iggs_X^\infty \to \mathbb{A}$$

sends (E, ϕ, φ) to the characteristic polynomial $p_{\widehat{\varphi}}(T) \in \mathbb{A}(S)$ of the formal Higgs field $\widehat{\varphi}$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let S be a k-scheme. Given $(E, \phi, \varphi) \in \mathcal{H}iggs_X^\infty(S)$, there exists a formal trivialization of E along $x \times S$:*

$$\phi_\varphi \colon \widehat{E}_{x\times S} \simeq \mathcal{O}_S[[z]][T]/p_{\widehat{\varphi}}(T)$$

in such a way that M (see Definition 3.5) corresponds to the multiplication by T in $\mathcal{O}_S[[z]][T]/p_{\widehat{\varphi}}(T)$.

Proof. The proof uses the classical correspondence between a Higgs pair and the Jacobian of the spectral curve of [3]. The formal Higgs field $\widehat{\varphi}$ endows $\widehat{E}_{x\times S}$ with a line bundle structure over the formal spectral curve $\operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_S[[z]][T]/p_{\widehat{\varphi}}(T)$, so that we can choose a trivialization:

$$\widehat{E}_{x\times S} \simeq \mathcal{O}_S[[z]][T]/p_{\widehat{\varphi}}(T)\,.$$

Using the given formal trivialization:

$$\phi\colon \widehat{E}_{x\times S} \simeq \mathcal{O}_S[[z]]^n$$

we can define an $\mathcal{O}_S[[z]]$-algebra structure on $\mathcal{O}_S[[z]]^n$ and, because of the compatibility condition between ϕ and φ of Definition 3.5, we get that M corresponds to the multiplication by T in $\mathcal{O}_S[[z]][T]/p_{\widehat{\varphi}}(T)$. □

4.1. Relative Infinite Grassmannian.

Observe that for each $p(T) \in \mathbb{A}(S)$ the $\mathcal{O}_S((z))$-module $V_S = \mathcal{O}_S((z))^n$ can be enriched with a natural $\mathcal{O}_S((z))$-algebra structure:

$$V_S \simeq \mathcal{O}_S((z))[T]/p(T)$$

using the standard basis $\{1, T, T^2, \dots, T^{n-1}\}$.

Now take a rational point $(E, \phi, \varphi) \in \mathcal{H}iggs_X^\infty(k)$ and denote by $\pi\colon X_\varphi \to X$ the spectral cover.

Using the formal trivialization of the Proposition 4.2:

$$\phi_\varphi \colon \widehat{E}_x \simeq k[[z]][T]/p_{\widehat{\varphi}}(T)$$

is easy to check that

$$W = \phi_\varphi \operatorname{H}^0(X_\varphi - \pi^{-1}(x), E)$$

is a rational point of the infinite Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}(k((z))[T]/p_{\widehat{\varphi}}(T))$.

The aim for this section is to make this construction uniform as $p(T)$ varies. This will lead us to define the relative infite Grassmannian. The construction is similar to that of the standard infinite Grassmannian ([2]), so we will omit the proofs (see [11] for further details).

Let $p^{univ}(T)$ denote the universal polynomial of $\mathbb{A}$, that is, the point corresponding to the identity morphism $\operatorname{Id}\colon \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$ (recall that by proposition 3.3 $\mathbb{A}$ is representable) and think of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}[[z]][T]/p^{univ}(T)$ as the ring of the universal formal spectral cover.

Denote

$$V = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}((z))[T]/p^{univ}(T) \qquad V^+ = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}[[z]][T]/p^{univ}(T)$$

and consider the triple (V, V^+, V_1) where $V_1 = zV^+$. We have:

- V^+ is a sheaf of V_1-adics $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}$-algebras (V_1 is a sheaf of ideals of V^+).
- $V^+/V_1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}$ as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}$-algebras, V_1 is locally principal and V is the localization of V^+ by the generator of V_1. Equivalentely, for each point of $\mathbb{A}$ there exists an open neighborhood U such that:

$$(V_U, V_U^+, (V_1)_U) \simeq (\mathcal{O}_U((z))[T]/p^U(T), \mathcal{O}_U[[z]][T]/p^U(T), z\mathcal{O}_U[[z]][T]/p^U(T))$$

Define the following sub-V^+-modules of V by $V_i := V_1^i$, that is,

$$V_i = z^i \mathcal{O}_U[[z]][T]/p^U(T))$$

locally in $\mathbb{A}$.

There also exists a notion of conmensurability for sub-$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}$-modules of V and verifies the same properties as the standard conmensurability (see [2]).

Definition 4.3. The relative infinite Grassmannian associated to the triple (V, V^+, V_1) is the functor that associates to each $\mathbb{A}$-esquema S the following set:

$$\mathcal{G}\mathrm{r}(V)(S) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{quasicoherents sub-}\mathcal{O}_S\text{-modules } W \subseteq V_S \text{ such that } V_S/W \text{ is} \\ \text{flat and for each point in } S \text{ there exists an open neighborhood } U \text{ and} \\ C \text{ conmensurable with } V^+ \text{ such that } V_U/(W_U + C_U) = 0 \\ \text{and } W_U \cap C_U \text{ is loc. free of finite type} \end{array} \right\}$$

Theorem 4.4. *$\mathcal{G}\mathrm{r}(V)$ is representable by a $\mathbb{A}$-scheme (thus, in particular by a k-scheme) that we will still denote $\mathcal{G}\mathrm{r}(V)$.*

Theorem 4.5. *For all $\mathbb{A}$-scheme S denote $V_S = V \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}} \mathcal{O}_S$. It holds:*

$$\mathcal{G}\mathrm{r}(V_S) \simeq \mathcal{G}\mathrm{r}(V) \times_{\mathbb{A}} S$$

In particular, for each rational point $p(T)\colon \operatorname{Spec} k \to \mathbb{A}$:

$$\mathcal{G}\mathrm{r}(V_k) = \mathcal{G}\mathrm{r}(k((z))[T]/p(T))$$

This means that:

$$\mathcal{G}\mathrm{r}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}((z))[T]/p^{univ}(T)) \to \mathbb{A}$$

is a fibration of infinite Grassmannians.

Remark 4.6. Note that one has an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}$-modules

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}((z))[T]/p^{univ}(T) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}((z))^n \,,$$

therefore, considering the trivial fibration of k-schemes

$$\mathrm{Gr}(k((z))^n) \times \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$$

one can get an isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{Gr}(k((z))^n) \times \mathbb{A} \simeq \mathcal{G}\mathrm{r}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}((z))^n) \simeq \mathcal{G}\mathrm{r}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}((z))[T]/p^{univ}(T)) \tag{4.1}$$

4.2. **Krichever map via the spectral construction.**

Given a point $(E, \phi, \varphi) \in \mathcal{H}iggs_X^{\infty}(S)$ and using the formal trivialization ϕ_φ of Proposition 4.2, one gets a well-defined Krichever map:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}iggs_X^{\infty}(S) &\to \mathcal{G}\mathrm{r}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}((z))[T]/p^{univ}(T))(S) \\ (E, \phi, \varphi) &\mapsto \phi_\varphi(\varinjlim_m \pi'_* E_\varphi(m)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi' \colon X_\varphi \to S$. For rational points is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}iggs_X^{\infty}(k) &\to \mathcal{G}\mathrm{r}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}((z))[T]/p^{univ}(T))(k) \\ (E, \phi, \varphi) &\mapsto \phi_\varphi \, \mathrm{H}^0(X_\varphi - \pi^{-1}(x), E_\varphi) \end{aligned}$$

$\pi \colon X_\varphi \to X$ being the spectral cover.

Bearing in mind the isomorphism of the equation (4.1), it follows that this Krichever map is in fact equivalent to the Krichever map defined in 3.10, and by theorem 3.12 is injective. The image is characterized in a similar way to that of theorem 3.17.

See also [4] for other interpretation of the spectral construction.

5. EQUATIONS OF THE MODULI SPACE OF HIGGS PAIRS.

Let $p(T) \in \mathbb{A}(k)$ be a rational point and denote

$$V_p = k((z))[T]/p(T) \qquad\qquad V_p^+ = k[[z]][T]/p(T)\,.$$

From now on, assume that V_p is a separable $k((z))$-algebra with the following decomposition:

$$V_p \simeq V_1 \times \cdots \times V_r$$

where $V_i = k((z))[T_i]/T_i^{n_i} - zu_i(T_i)$, $n_1 + \cdots + n_r = n$ and $u_i(T_i)$ are invertibles in $k[[T_i]]$. In addition, it is easy to prove the following isomorphism:

$$k((T_i)) \simeq V_i = k((z))[T_i]/T_i^n - zu(T_i) \tag{5.1}$$

where z is thought as a serie in T_i by the expresion $z = T_i^{n_i} u_i(T_i)^{-1}$.

This assumption is motivated by the following geometric fact:

Proposition 5.1. *Consider* $(E,\varphi,\phi)\in\mathcal{H}iggs^{\infty}_X(k)$ *and let* $p_{\widehat{\varphi}}(T)\in\mathbb{A}(k)$ *be characteristic polynomial of the induced formal Higgs field. Then, the ring of the formal spectral curve,* $\widehat{X}_V := \operatorname{Spf} V_p^+$, *is isomorphic, as* $k[[z]]$*-algebra, to:*

$$k[[z]][T_1]/T_1^{n_1}-zu_1(T_1)\times\cdots\times k[[z]][T_r]/T_r^{n_r}-zu_r(T_r)\,,$$

where $n_1+\cdots+n_r=n$ *and* $u_i(T_i)\in k[[T_i]]^*$.

Proof. Indeed, denote $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_x = k[[z]]$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_y = V_p^+ = k[[z]][T]/p_{\widehat{\varphi}}(T)$. $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_x$ is a local, regular and complete ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_x=(z)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_y$ is a regular, complete, separable $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_x$-algebra of dimension one, thus, $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_y$ is a Dedekind domain and we have a primary decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{m}_x\mathcal{O}_y=\mathfrak{m}_1^{n_1}\cdots\mathfrak{m}_r^{n_r}$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_i$ are the maximal ideals of the points:

$$\{y_1,\cdots,y_r\}=\operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{O}_y\,.$$

Moreover, if we denote $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{y_i}$ the completion of $(\mathcal{O}_y)_{y_i}$, in $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{y_i}$ one has $\mathfrak{m}_x\mathcal{O}_{y_i}=\mathfrak{m}_i^{n_i}$. Since $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{y_i}$ are local, regular and complete k-algebras of dimension one, by Cohen theorem it follows that $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{y_i}\simeq k[[T_i]]$ and then, in $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{y_i}$, it is:

$$z=T_i^{n_i}v_i(T_i)$$

$v_i(T_i)$ being an invertible element in $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{y_i}$. In addition, we know that $k[[z]]\to k[[T_i]]$ is an integer morphism, so one gets a relation:

$$T_i^{n_i}+b_1^{(i)}T_i^{n_i-1}+\cdots+b_{n_i}^{(i)}=0$$

where $b_j^{(i)}\in k[[z]]$. That is:

$$k[[T_i]]\simeq k[[z]][T_i]/T_i^{n_i}+b_1^{(i)}T_i^{n_i-1}+\cdots+b_{n_i}^{(i)}$$

Moreover, if we restrict to $z=0$ we should get a point counted n_i times, what means that $b_j^{(i)}=z\bar{b}_j^{(i)}$ where $\bar{b}_j^{(i)}\in k[[z]]$. Therefore:

$$T_i^{n_i}=-z(\bar{b}_1^{(i)}T_i^{n_i-1}+\cdots+\bar{b}_{n_i}^{(i)})\,.$$

If we denote $u_i(T_i)$ to $v_i(T_i)^{-1}$, one gets that $u_i(T_i)$ is a polynomial in T_i with coefficients in $k[[z]]$ and:

$$k[[T_i]]\simeq k[[z]][T_i]/T_i^{n_i}-zu_i(T_i)$$

Because of separabily and the fact that we are working in characteristic zero, if $u_i=v_j$ the $n_i\neq n_j$. Now we can conclude:

$$k[[z]][T]/p_{\widehat{\varphi}}(T)\simeq k[[z]][T_1]/T_1^{n_1}-zu_1(T_1)\times\cdots\times k[[z]][T_r]/T_r^{n_r}-zu_r(T_r)$$

where $u_i(T_i)=v_i(T_i)^{-1}$. □

Remark 5.2. Note that, since k has characteristic zero, there exists $\bar{v}_i\in k[[T_i]]$ such that $v_i=\bar{v}_i^{n_i}$. Then, $\bar{T}_i=T_i\bar{v}_i\in k[[T_i]]$ is a formal parameter for y_i and $z=\bar{T}_i^{n_i}$, that is:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{y_i}\simeq\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_x[\bar{T}_i]/\bar{T}_i^{n_i}-z\,.$$

In our case we are not allow to do this change of parameter, because once we take a point in $\mathcal{H}iggs_X^\infty$ we get a polynomial in $\mathbb{A}$, and if we were allowed to do this change, then the polynomial would be different.

In order to give the equations describing $\mathcal{H}iggs_X^\infty$, we will firstly need to adapt some results concerning Tau and Baker-Akhiezer functions given in [2, 10, 9].

5.1. **Formal Jacobian and Abel morphism.**

Because of the isomorphisms of the equation 5.1 and following [2, 9] it can be proven that functor $\underline{V}_p^*$ of invertible elements in V_p is representable by a formal group k-scheme whose connected component of the origin decomposes as:

$$\Gamma_{V_p} = \Gamma_{V_p}^- \times \mathbb{G}_m^r \times \Gamma_{V_p}^+$$

and

$$\Gamma_{V_p}^\pm \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_{V_1}^\pm \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{V_r}^\pm \,.$$

Moreover, the formal Jacobian of the formal spectral curve $\widehat{X}_V$, $\mathcal{J}(\widehat{X}_V)$, is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{V_p}^-$ (see [2, Theorem 4.14] and also [9]).

Let $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_\infty$ be the formal group scheme:

$$\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_\infty := \varinjlim_n \operatorname{Spec} k[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$$

endowed with the additive group law. Let us denote $k\{\{t_1, t_2, \dots\}\}$ for the ring $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_\infty} = \varprojlim_n k[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$ and set:

$$\mathbb{A}_\infty^r := \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_\infty \times \overset{r}{\dots} \times \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_\infty \,.$$

Since the characteristic of k is zero, the exponetial map is the isomorphism of formal group k-schemes (see [2, Definition 4.11]):

$$\begin{gathered} \exp\colon \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_\infty^r \to \mathcal{J}(\widehat{X}_V) \\ (\{a_i^{(1)}\}_{i>0}, \dots, \{a_i^{(r)}\}_{i>0}) \mapsto \big(\exp(\sum_{i>0} \frac{a_i^{(1)}}{T_1^i}), \dots, \exp(\sum_{i>0} \frac{a_i^{(r)}}{T_r^i})\big) \end{gathered}$$

Now we can identify $\mathcal{J}(\widehat{X}_V)$ with the formal spectrum of the ring:

$$k\{\{t_1^{(1)}, \dots\}\} \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} k\{\{t_1^{(r)}, \dots\}\}$$

and its universal element will be denoted by:

$$v = \prod_{i=1}^r \exp(\sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{t_j^{(i)}}{T_i^j}) \,,$$

The Abel morphism of degree one (see [9]):

$$\phi_1\colon \widehat{X}_V \to \mathcal{J}(\widehat{X}_V)$$

is defined by the r series:

$$\big((1 - \frac{\bar{T}_1}{T_1})^{-1}, \dots, (1 - \frac{\bar{T}_r}{T_r})^{-1}\big)$$

where we distinguish the indeterminates:

$$\bar{T}_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}_V} = k[[\bar{T}_1]] \times \cdots \times k[[\bar{T}_r]] \xrightarrow{\sim} V_p^+$$

and $T_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{J}(\widehat{X}_V)}$.

Equivalentely, the Abel morphism is the induced morphism by the next ring homomorphism:

$$k\{\{t_1^{(1)}, t_2^{(1)}, \dots\}\}\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}k\{\{t_1^{(r)}, t_2^{(r)}, \dots\}\} \to k[[\bar{T}_1]]\times\cdots\times k[[\bar{T}_r]]$$

$$t_i^{(j)} \mapsto \bar{T}_j^{(i)}$$

5.2. **Tau and Baker-Akhiezer functions .**

Consider the fibration of infinite Grassmannians:

$$\mathrm{Gr}(k((z))^n)\times\mathbb{A}\to\mathbb{A}$$

and let $p(T)$ be a rational point of $\mathbb{A}$. The fiber at $p(T)\in\mathbb{A}(k)$ is $\mathrm{Gr}(V_p)(k)$ (see 4.1), where $V_p = k((z))[T]/p(T)$.

Consider now the action of Γ_{V_p} in $\mathrm{Gr}(V_p)$ by homoteties:

$$\mu\colon \Gamma_{V_p}\times\mathrm{Gr}(V_p)\to\mathrm{Gr}(V_p)$$

and define the Poincaré sheaf on $\Gamma_{V_p}\times\mathrm{Gr}(V_p)$ by:

$$\mathcal{P} := \mu^*\operatorname{Det}^*_{V_p}\ .$$

Definition 5.3. For each rational point $W\in\mathrm{Gr}(V_p)$, we define the *sheaf of τ functions* of W as the line bundle on $\Gamma_{V_p}\times\{W\}$ given by:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_\tau(W) := \mathcal{P}_{|\Gamma_{V_p}\times\{W\}}$$

We call τ-section of a point W, $\tilde{\tau}_W$, the image of $\mu^*\Omega_+$ under the morphism

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma_{V_p}\times\mathrm{Gr}(V_p),\mathcal{P})\to\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma_{V_p}\times\{W\},\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_\tau(W))$$

where Ω_+ is the canonical global section of $\operatorname{Det}^*_{V_p}$ defined in [2].

Note that $\tilde{\tau}_W$ is not a true function over $\Gamma_{V_p}\times\{W\}$, because $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_\tau(W)$ is in general non trivial. The algebraic analogous of the tau function defined by Sato, Segal and Wilson ([13]) is obtained by restricting $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_\tau(W)$ to the formal subgroup $\Gamma^-_{V_p}\simeq\mathcal{J}(\widehat{X}_V)\subset\Gamma_{V_p}$. Explicitily:

Define $\mathcal{L}_\tau(W) := \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_\tau(W)_{|\Gamma^-_{V_p}\times\{W\}}$. Like in [2], it can be shown that this vector bundle is trivial over $\Gamma^-_{V_p}\times\{W\}$. In order to obtain a trivialization (that allows us to identify sections with functions) one needs to find out a section without zeros. Let $\mathbb{V}$ be the fiber bundle associated to $\mathcal{L}_\tau(W)$, fix a non-zero element ρ_W in the fiber of $\mathbb{V}$ over the identity point $(1,W)\in\Gamma^-_{V_p}\times\{W\}$ and let σ_0 be the unique $\Gamma^-_{V_p}$-equivariant section such that $\sigma_0(1,W)=\rho_W$.

Thus, σ_0 is a constant section without zeros and the global section defined by $\tilde{\tau}_W$ is identified, via the trivialization given by σ_0, with the function:

$$\tau_W\in\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma^-_{V_p}}\simeq\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{J}(\widehat{X}_V)}\simeq k\{\{t_1^{(1)},\dots\}\}\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}k\{\{t_1^{(r)},\dots\}\}$$

defined by:

$$\tau_W(g_\bullet)=\frac{\tilde{\tau}_W(g_\bullet)}{\sigma_0(g_\bullet)}=\frac{\mu^*\Omega_+(g_\bullet)}{\sigma_0(g_\bullet)}=\frac{\Omega_+(g_\bullet\cdot W)}{g_\bullet\cdot\rho_W}$$

for $g_\bullet=\prod_{i=1}^r\exp(\sum_{j\geq 1}\frac{t_j^{(i)}}{T_i^j})\in\mathcal{J}(\widehat{X}_V)\simeq\Gamma^-_{V_p}$.

Remark 5.4. Over the formal base curve $\widehat{X} = \operatorname{Spf} k[[z]]$, if $\Omega \in \operatorname{Gr}(k((z)))$, its τ function over $\mathcal{J}(\widehat{X}) \simeq \Gamma^- \simeq \operatorname{Spf} k\{\{t_1, \dots\}\}$ is, in characteristic zero ([2]):

$$\tau_\Omega(g) = \frac{\tilde{\tau}_\Omega(g)}{\sigma_0(g)} = \frac{\mu^*\Omega_+(g)}{\sigma_0(g)} = \frac{\Omega_+(gW)}{g\rho_W}$$

for $g = \exp(\sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{t_j}{z^j}) \in \mathcal{J}(\widehat{X}) \simeq \Gamma^-$.

Now is time to go for Baker-Akhiezer functions.

Consider the composition:

$$\tilde{\beta}\colon \widehat{X}_V \times \Gamma_{V_p} \times \operatorname{Gr}(V_p) \overset{\phi_1 \times \mathrm{Id}}{\to} \Gamma_{V_p} \times \Gamma_{V_p} \times \operatorname{Gr}(V_p) \overset{m \times \mathrm{Id}}{\to} \Gamma_{V_p} \times \operatorname{Gr}(V_p)$$

where $\phi_1\colon \widehat{X}_V \to \Gamma_{V_p}$ is the Abel morphism of degree one (with values in $\Gamma^-_{V_p} \simeq \mathcal{J}(\widehat{X}_V) \subset \Gamma_{V_p}$) and m is the group law in Γ_{V_p}.

Definition 5.5. The sheaf of Baker-Akhiezer functions (BA) is the line bundle over $\widehat{X}_V \times \Gamma_{V_p} \times \operatorname{Gr}(V_p)$ defined by:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{BA} := \tilde{\beta}^*\mathcal{P}\,.$$

The sheaf of BA functions of a point $W \in \operatorname{Gr}(V_p)$ is:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{BA}(W) := \widetilde{\mathcal{L}_{BA}}_{|\widehat{X}_V \times \Gamma_{V_p} \times \{W\}}\,.$$

Similarly:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{BA}(W) := \tilde{\beta}^*_W \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\tau(W)$$

$\tilde{\beta}_W$ being the restriction of $\tilde{\beta}$ to $W \in \operatorname{Gr}(V_p)$.

Definition 5.6. The BA-section of $W \in \operatorname{Gr}(V_p)$ is $\tilde{\psi}_W := v^{-1} \cdot \beta^*_W(\tilde{\tau}_W)$, where:

$$\beta^*_W\colon\ \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma_{V_p} \times \{W\}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_\tau(W)) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\widetilde{X}_V \times \Gamma_{V_p} \times \{W\}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{BA}(W))$$

is the induced morphism by $\tilde{\beta}^*_W$. That is, choose it in such a way that:

$$\widetilde{\psi_W}_{|x_0^{(i)} \times \Gamma_{V_p}} = v_i^{-1}$$

where $x_0^{(i)}$ is the origin of $\widehat{X}_{V_i}$ and v^{-1} is v_i^{-1} in the component $\widehat{X}_{V_i}$.

As in the previous section, the bundle:

$$\mathcal{L}_{BA}(W) := \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{BA}(W)_{|\widetilde{X}_V \times \Gamma^-_{V_p} \times \{W\}}$$

is trivial over $\widetilde{X}_V \times \Gamma^-_{V_p}$, so, choosing a trivialization, the BA-function of a point $W \in \operatorname{Gr}(V_p)$ is defined by the following formula:

$$\psi_W(T_\bullet, g_\bullet) = v^{-1} \frac{\tau_W(g_\bullet \cdot \phi_1(T_\bullet))}{\tau_W(g_\bullet)}$$

where $T_\bullet = (T_1, \dots, T_r)$ and $g_\bullet \in \Gamma^-_{V_p}$.

In order to give an explicit expression of this function in characteristic zero, we compose the Abel morphism with the exponential isomorphism:

$$\widehat{X}_V \overset{\phi_1}{\to} \mathcal{J}(\widehat{X}_V) \overset{exp^{-1}}{\to} \widehat{\mathbb{A}}^r_\infty$$

that sends T_j to the point in $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}^r_\infty$ with coordinates:

$$[T_j] := \big((0,\dots),\dots,(T_j,\frac{T_j^2}{2},\frac{T_j^3}{3},\dots),\dots,(0,\dots)\big)$$

or, equivalentely, this map is induced by the ring hommorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} k\{\{t_1^{(1)},\dots\}\}\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}k\{\{t_1^{(r)},\dots\}\} &\to k[[T_1]]\times\cdots\times k[[T_r]] \\ t_i^{(j)} &\mapsto (0,\dots,0,\frac{T_j^{(i)}}{i},0,\dots,0)\,. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that we have an addition map:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{X}_V\times\mathcal{J}(\widehat{X}_V) &\to \mathcal{J}(\widehat{X}_V) \\ (T_\bullet,t_\bullet) &\mapsto t_\bullet+[T_\bullet] \end{aligned}$$

where $T_\bullet=(T_1,\dots,T_r)$, $t_\bullet=(t^{(1)},\dots,t^{(r)})$ y $t_\bullet+[T_\bullet]$ denotes the point in $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}^r_\infty$ with coordinates $(\dots,t_i^{(j)}+\frac{T_j^i}{i},\dots)$.

In this fashion, the BA-function of a point $W\in\mathrm{Gr}(V_p)$ (in characteristic zero) is defined by ([10, 9]):

$$\psi_W(T_\bullet,t_\bullet)=\prod_{i=1}^r\exp(-\sum_{j\geq 1}\frac{t_j^{(i)}}{T_i^j})\cdot\big(\frac{\tau_W(t_\bullet+[T_\bullet])}{\tau_W(t_\bullet)}\big)$$

Remark 5.7. If $\Omega\in\mathrm{Gr}(k((z)))$, its BA-function in characteristic zero is ([2, 10]):

$$\psi_\Omega(z,t)=\exp(-\sum_{j\geq 1}\frac{t_j}{z^j})\cdot\big(\frac{\tau_\Omega(t+[z])}{\tau_\Omega(t)}\big)$$

Remark 5.8. In the case of points in the Grassmannian of $k((z))$, the Tau-function of a point $\Omega\in\mathrm{Gr}(k((z)))$ generates the subspace Ω([10]), nevertheless, this is no longer true for a point $W\in\mathrm{Gr}(V_p)$. In order to solve this problem the following definition is used in [9].

Recall the descomposition $V_p\xrightarrow{\sim}V_1\times\cdots\times V_r$.

Definition 5.9. The u-th BA-function of a point $W\in\mathrm{Gr}(V_p)$ is the function

$$\psi_{u,W}(T_\bullet,t_\bullet):=\big(\xi_{u1}\exp(-\sum_{j\geq 1}\frac{t_j^{(1)}}{T_1^j})\frac{\tau_{W_{u1}}(t_\bullet+[T_1])}{\tau_W(t_\bullet)},\dots,\xi_{ur}\exp(-\sum_{j\geq 1}\frac{t_j^{(n)}}{T_r^j})\frac{\tau_{W_{ur}}(t_\bullet+[T_r])}{\tau_W(t_\bullet)}\big)$$

where

- $1\leq u\leq r$.
- $W_{uv}:=(1,\dots,T_u,\dots,T_v^{-1},\dots,1)\cdot W$.
- $t_\bullet+[T_v]:=(t^{(1)},\dots,t^{(v)}+[T_v],\dots,t^{(n)})$.
- ξ_{ui} is -1 if $i>u$ and 1 if $i\leq u$.

Now, let's see that W can be generated (as an infinite subspace of V_p) by these u-th BA-functions.

Let $\widehat{X}_V^N$ be the formal scheme:

$$\widehat{X}_V^N:=\mathrm{Spf}\big((V_1^+)^{\otimes N}\big)\times\cdots\times\mathrm{Spf}\big((V_r^+)^{\otimes N}\big)$$

Denote $(V_i^+)^{\otimes N} = k[[T_i]]^{\otimes N}$ by $k[[x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_N^{(i)}]]$. We have:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}_V^N} = k[[x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_N^{(1)}, \dots, x_1^{(r)}, \dots, x_N^{(r)}]]\,.$$

The Abel morphism of degree N:

$$\phi_N \colon \widehat{X}_V^N \to \mathcal{J}(\widehat{X}_V)$$

is defined by:

$$\prod_{k=1}^{N}(1 - \frac{x_k^{(1)}}{T_1})^{-1}, \dots, \prod_{k=1}^{N}(1 - \frac{x_k^{(r)}}{T_r})^{-1}\,.$$

Lemma 5.10. [9] *Let $W \in \operatorname{Gr}^0(V_p)$ be a rational point. Let $N > 0$ be an integer number such that $V_p/V_p + T_\bullet^N W = (0)$. Let*

$$\{f_i := (f_i^{(1)}(T_1), \dots, f_i^{(r)}(T_r)) \,|\, 1 \le i \le N \cdot r\}$$

be a basis for $V_p^+ \cap T_\bullet^N W$ as a k-vector space where $f_i^{(j)} \in V_j^+$.

Then:

$$\phi_N^* \tau_W = \prod_{1 \le k < l \le N, 1 \le i \le r} (x_l^{(i)} - x_k^{(i)})^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} f_1^{(1)}(x_1^{(1)}) & \cdots & f_1^{(r)}(x_1^{(r)}) & \cdots & f_1^{(1)}(x_N^{(1)}) & \cdots & f_1^{(r)}(x_N^{(r)}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ f_{Nr}^{(1)}(x_1^{(1)}) & \cdots & f_{Nr}^{(r)}(x_1^{(r)}) & \cdots & f_{Nr}^{(1)}(x_N^{(1)}) & \cdots & f_{Nr}^{(r)}(x_N^{(r)}) \end{vmatrix}$$

as functions of $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}_V^N}$ (up to non-zero constants).

Remark 5.11. Recall that the superindex 0 of $\operatorname{Gr}^0(V_p)$ denotes that we are taking the connected component of the Grassmannian where the index function of W takes the value 0 (see [2, Def.3.3]).

Theorem 5.12. [9] *Take $W \in \operatorname{Gr}^0(V_p)$. Then:*

$$\psi_{u,W}(T_\bullet, t_\bullet) = (1, \dots, T_u, \dots, 1) \cdot \sum_{i>0} \big(\psi_{u,W}^{i,1}(T_1), \dots, \psi_{u,W}^{i,r}(T_r)\big) p_{ui,W}(t_\bullet)$$

where

$$\{\big(\psi_{u,W}^{i,1}(T_1), \dots, \psi_{u,W}^{i,r}(T_r)\big) \,|\, i > 0, 1 \le u \le n\}$$

is a basis for W and $p_{ui,W}(t_\bullet)$ are functions in $t_\bullet$.

Remark 5.13. For studing the index m connected component ($m > 0$), $\operatorname{Gr}^m(V_p)$, we need to choose an element v_m such that $\dim_k V_p^+/v_m V_p^+ = m$ in order to get an isomorphism $\operatorname{Gr}^m(V_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Gr}^0(V_p)$ that allow us to define the global section Ω_+^m of the dual of the determinant bundle of $\operatorname{Gr}^m(V_p)$ as the image of the canonical section Ω_+ of $\operatorname{Det}_{V_p}^*$ (see [2] and [10, Remark 4]). Let us set v_m as follows:

- for $m \le \frac{1}{2}(r - n)$, let p, q, s, t be integer numbers defined by $-m = q \cdot (n - r) + p$, $0 \le p < n - r$, $p = s \cdot r + t$, $0 \le t < r$. Therefore, we set
$$v_m := (z^{-1} \cdot T_\bullet)^q T_1^{s+1} \cdots T_t^{s+1} T_{t+1}^s \cdots T_r^s$$
- for $m > \frac{1}{2}(r - n)$, we set:
$$v_m := (z^{-1} \cdot T_\bullet) \cdot v_{r-n-m}^{-1}$$

Theorem 5.14. [9] *If $W \in \operatorname{Gr}^m(V_p)$ we have:*

$$\psi_{u,W}(T_\bullet, t_\bullet) = v_m^{-1}(1, \dots, T_u, \dots, 1) \cdot \sum_{i>0} \big(\psi^{(i,1)}_{u,W}(T_1), \dots, \psi^{(i,r)}_{u,W}(T_r)\big) p_{ui,W}(t_\bullet)$$

where

$$\{\big(\psi^{(i,1)}_{u,W}(T_1), \dots, \psi^{(i,r)}_{u,W}(T_t)\big) \,|\, i > 0, 1 \leq u \leq t\}$$

is a basis for W and $p_{ui,W}(t_\bullet)$ are functions in $t_\bullet$.

In particular, an element of V_p lies in W if and only if it can be expressed as a linear combination of

$$\psi_{1,W}(T_\bullet, t_\bullet), \dots, \psi_{r,W}(T_\bullet, t_\bullet)$$

for certain values of the parameters $t_\bullet$.

Remark 5.15. If $\Omega \in \operatorname{Gr}^s(k((z)))$ then ([10]):

$$\psi_\Omega(z, t) = z^{1-s} \sum_{i>0} \psi^{(i)}_\Omega(z) p_i(t)$$

where $\{\psi^{(i)}_\Omega(z)\}_i$ is a basis for Ω and $p_i(t)$ are functions in t. In particular, if Ω is the point defined by the canonical line bundle ω_X, then $s = g - 1$, where g is the genus of X. If we denote Ω^{-1} as the point defined by ω_X^{-1}, then $s = 1 - 3g$.

5.2.1. *Adjoint Baker function.* Since V_p is a finite separable $k((z))$-algebra, it carries the metric of the trace

$$\operatorname{Tr}\colon V_p \times V_p \to k((z))\,,$$

which is non-degenerated. Therefore, V_p can be endowed with the non-degenerated pairing:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{T}_2\colon V_p \times V_p &\to k \\ (a, b) &\mapsto \operatorname{Res}_{z=0}(\operatorname{Tr}(a, b))dz \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.16. [10, 9] *The pairing T_2 induces an isomorphism of k-schemes:*

$$\begin{aligned} R\colon\ \operatorname{Gr}(V_p) &\to \operatorname{Gr}(V_p) \\ W &\mapsto W^\perp\,, \end{aligned}$$

where $W^\perp$ is writen for the orthogonal of W w.r.t. T_2.

We have the following properties ([9]):

- $R(\operatorname{Gr}^m(V_p)) = \operatorname{Gr}^{-m}(V_p)$.
- $R^* \operatorname{Det}_{V_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Det}_{V_p}$.
- $(g \cdot W)^\perp = g^{-1} \cdot W^\perp$, for $W \in \operatorname{Gr}(V_p)$ and $g \in \mathcal{J}(\widehat{X}_V)$.
- $R^*\Omega_+^m = \Omega_+^{-m}$.
- $\tau_{W^\perp}(g) = \tau_W(g^{-1})$.
- $W_{uv}^\perp = W_{vu}$

Definition 5.17. The u-th Baker-Akhiezer function of a point $W \in \operatorname{Gr}(V_p)$ is:

$$\psi^*_{u,W}(T_\bullet, t_\bullet) := \psi_{u,W^\perp}(T_\bullet, -t_\bullet)$$

In characteristic zero:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi^*_{u,W}(T_\bullet, t_\bullet) := \\
&= \big(\xi_{u1} \exp(\sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{t_j^{(1)}}{T_1^j}) \frac{\tau_{W^\perp_{u1}}(-t_\bullet + [T_1])}{\tau^\perp_W(-t_\bullet)}, \dots, \xi_{un} \exp(\sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{t_j^{(n)}}{T_n^j}) \frac{\tau_{W^\perp_{ur}}(-t_\bullet + [T_r])}{\tau^\perp_W(-t_\bullet)}\big) = \\
&= \big(\xi_{u1} \exp(\sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{t_j^{(1)}}{T_1^j}) \frac{\tau_{W_{1u}}(t_\bullet - [T_1])}{\tau_W(t_\bullet)}, \dots, \xi_{ur} \exp(\sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{t_j^{(n)}}{T_r^j}) \frac{\tau_{W_{ru}}(t_\bullet - [T_r])}{\tau_W(t_\bullet)}\big)
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.18. *Take $W \in \operatorname{Gr}^m(V_p)$. We have:*

$$\psi^*_{u,W}(T_\bullet, t_\bullet) = v^{-1}_{r-n-m}(1, \dots, T_u, \dots, 1) \cdot \sum_{i>0} \big(\psi^{*(i,1)}_{u,W}(T_1), \dots, \psi^{*(i,r)}_{u,W}(T_r)\big) p^*_{ui,W}(t_\bullet)$$

where

$$\{\big(\psi^{*(i,1)}_{u,W}(T_1), \dots, \psi^{*(i,r)}_{u,W}(T_r)\big) \mid i > 0, 1 \leq u \leq r\}$$

is a basis for $W^\perp$ and $p^*_{ui,W}(t_\bullet)$ are functions in $t_\bullet$.

In particular, an element of V_p lies in $W^\perp$ if and only if it can be expressed as a linear combination of

$$\psi^*_{1,W}(T_\bullet, t_\bullet), \dots, \psi^*_{r,W}(T_\bullet, t_\bullet)$$

for certain values of the parameters $t_\bullet$.

5.3. **Product of BA-functions.**

Take $\Omega \in \operatorname{Gr}(k((z)))$ and $W \in \operatorname{Gr}(V_p)$. Consider its BA-functions:

$$\psi_\Omega(z, t) \qquad \psi_W(T_\bullet, t_\bullet)$$

(that lies over $\widehat{X} \times \Gamma^-$ and $\widehat{X}_V \times \Gamma^-_{V_p}$ respectively).

The graph, $\widehat{X}_V \to \widehat{X}_V \times \widehat{X}$, of the morphism $\widehat{\pi} \colon \widehat{X}_V \to \widehat{X}$ induces:

$$f \colon \widehat{X}_V \times \Gamma^-_{V_p} \times \Gamma^- \to (\widehat{X}_V \times \Gamma^-_{V_p}) \times (\widehat{X} \times \Gamma^-) \,.$$

Remark 5.19. Note that the graph is the morphism induced by:

$$\begin{gathered}
k[[z]] \otimes_k (k[[T_1]] \times \cdots \times k[[T_r]]) \to k[[T_1]] \times \cdots \times k[[T_r]] \\
z \otimes_k (T_1, \dots, T_r) \mapsto (zT_1, \dots, zT_r)
\end{gathered}$$

and this expression makes sense because we know how to express z in terms of T_i by equation (5.1).

Definition 5.20. The product of the BA-functions of $\Omega \in \operatorname{Gr}(k((z)))$ and $W \in \operatorname{Gr}(V_p)$ is defined by:

$$\psi_W(T_\bullet, t_\bullet) * \psi_\Omega(z, t) := f^*\big(\psi_W(T_\bullet, t_\bullet) \otimes_k \psi_\Omega(z, t)\big)$$

The product of $\psi_\Omega(z, t)$ by the u-th BA-function of W, $\psi_{u,W}(T_\bullet, t_\bullet)$, can be defined in similar way.

Proposition 5.21. *Let $W \in \operatorname{Gr}(V_p)$ and $\Omega \in \operatorname{Gr}(k((z)))$ be such that $\Omega \cdot W \in \operatorname{Gr}(V_p)$. Then*

$$\{\psi_\Omega(z, t) * \psi_{1,W}(T_\bullet, t_\bullet), \dots, \psi_\Omega(z, t) * \psi_{r,W}(T_\bullet, t_\bullet)\}$$

is a generating system for $\Omega \cdot W$. If the characteristic of k is zero, then:

$$\psi_\Omega(z, t) * \psi_{u,W}(T_\bullet, t_\bullet) = \big(\psi_\Omega(z, t) \cdot \psi^{(1)}_{u,W}(T_1, t_\bullet), \dots, \psi_\Omega(z, t) \cdot \psi^{(r)}_{u,W}(T_r, t_\bullet)\big)$$

where

$$\psi_\Omega(z,t) = \exp(-\sum_{j\geq 1}\frac{t_j}{z^j})\cdot(\frac{\tau_\Omega(t+[z])}{\tau_\Omega(t)})$$

and

$$\psi^{(i)}_{u,W} = \xi_{ui}\exp(-\sum_{j\geq 1}\frac{t^{(i)}_j}{T_i^j})\frac{\tau_{W_{ui}}(t_\bullet+[T_i])}{\tau_W(t_\bullet)}$$

Proof. They are a generating system by 5.14 and 5.15. Explicit formula in characteristic zero follows from 5.7, 5.9 and the definition of the graph morphism. □

5.4. **Equations of $\mathcal{H}iggs^\infty_X$.**

Theorem 3.17 says that a rational point $(W,p(T))\in\mathcal{U}^\infty_X(k)\times\mathbb{A}(k)$ lies on the image of

$$\mathcal{H}iggs^\infty_X(k)\hookrightarrow\mathcal{U}^\infty_X(k)\times\mathbb{A}(k)$$

if and only if $T(W)\subseteq W\cdot\Omega$, where $\Omega\in\mathrm{Gr}(k((z)))(k)$ is the point defined by the canonical line bundle ω_X and T is the homotety multiplying by T in V_p. Bearing in mind the descomposition $V_p \simeq V_1\times\cdots\times V_r$, T translates into the homotety multiplying by $T_\bullet=(T_1,\dots,T_r)$, therefore, if we think of T as an operator in V_p is easy to see that T is self-adjoint w.r.t. the pairing T_2 defined in section 5.2.1, that is:

$$\mathrm{T}_2(T\cdot v,v') = \mathrm{T}_2(v,T\cdot v')\qquad\forall v,v'\in V_p\simeq V_1\times\cdots V_r\,.$$

Theorem 5.22. *Let $(W,p(T))\in\mathcal{U}^\infty_X(k)\times\mathbb{A}(k)$ be a rational point, $\Omega\in\mathrm{Gr}^{g-1}(k((z)))$ and assume that $W\in\mathrm{Gr}^m(V_p)$ (with $m\neq\frac{1}{2}(r-n)$).*

$(W,p(T))$ lies in the image of $\mathcal{H}iggs^\infty_X(k)\hookrightarrow\mathcal{U}^\infty_X(k)\times\mathbb{A}(k)$ if and only if:

$$\mathrm{T}_2(\frac{v_{r-n-m}\cdot T_\bullet\cdot\psi^*_{u,W}(T_\bullet,t_\bullet)}{(1,\dots,T_u,\dots,1)},\frac{v_m\cdot\psi_{\Omega^{-1}}(z,t)\cdot\psi_{v,W}(T_\bullet,t_\bullet)}{z^{3g}\cdot(1,\dots,T_v,\dots1)})=0$$

for all $1\leq u,v\leq r$.

Proof. By theorem 3.17, $(W,p(T))\in\mathcal{U}^\infty_X(k)\times\mathbb{A}(k)$ lies on the image of $\mathcal{H}iggs^\infty_X(k)\hookrightarrow\mathcal{U}^\infty_X(k)\times\mathbb{A}(k)$ if and only if $T\cdot W\subseteq W\cdot\Omega$. Now:

$$T\cdot W\subseteq W\cdot\Omega\iff\Omega^{-1}\cdot W\subseteq T^{-1}\cdot W\iff(T^{-1}\cdot W)^\perp\subseteq(\Omega^{-1}\cdot W)^\perp$$

where $\perp$ denotes the orthogonal w.r.t. T_2.

Because T is self-adjoint, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}(T^{-1}\cdot W)^\perp := &\{v\in V_p\,|\,\mathrm{T}_2(v,T^{-1}\cdot w)=0\quad\forall w\in W\}=\\ =&\{v\in V_p\,|\,\mathrm{T}_2(T^{-1}\cdot v,w)=0\quad\forall w\in W\}=\\ =&\{v\in V_p\,|\,T^{-1}\cdot v\in W^\perp\}=T\cdot W^\perp\end{aligned}$$

Since multiplying by T corresponds to multiplying by $T_\bullet$, using the theorem 5.18 we have that:

$$\psi^*_{1,W}(T_\bullet,t_\bullet),\dots,\psi^*_{r,W}(T_\bullet,t_\bullet)$$

is a generating system for $W^\perp$. Therefore

$$T_\bullet\cdot\psi^*_{1,W}(T_\bullet,t_\bullet),\dots,T_\bullet\cdot\psi^*_{r,W}(T_\bullet,t_\bullet)$$

is a generating system for $T \cdot W^{\perp}$ and (by theorem 5.18):

$$T_{\bullet} \cdot \psi^{*}_{u,W}(T_{\bullet}, t_{\bullet}) =$$

$$= v^{-1}_{r-n-m}(1, \dots, T_u, \dots, 1) \cdot \sum_{i>0} \big(T_1 \cdot \psi^{*(i,1)}_{u,W}(T_1), \dots, T_r \cdot \psi^{*(i,r)}_{u,W}(T_r)\big) p^{*}_{ui,W}(t_{\bullet})$$

where

$$\{\big(T_1 \cdot \psi^{*(i,1)}_{u,W}(T_1), \dots, T_r \cdot \psi^{*(i,r)}_{u,W}(T_r)\big) \mid i > 0, 1 \leq u \leq r\}$$

is a basis for $T \cdot W^{\perp}$ and $p^{*}_{ui,W}(t_{\bullet})$ are functions in $t_{\bullet}$.

Since T_2 is non-degenerated and because of the expressions 5.15, 5.14, 5.18 of the functions $\psi_{\Omega^{-1}}(z,t)$, $\psi_{v,W}(T_{\bullet}, t_{\bullet})$ and $\psi^{*}_{u,W}(T_{\bullet}, t_{\bullet})$, we conclude that $T \cdot W^{\perp} \subseteq (\Omega^{-1} \cdot W)^{\perp}$ if and only if the following set of equations is satisfied:

$$\mathrm{T}_2\Big(\frac{v_{r-n-m} \cdot T_{\bullet} \cdot \psi^{*}_{u,W}(T_{\bullet}, t_{\bullet})}{(1, \dots, T_u, \dots, 1)}, \frac{v_m \cdot \psi_{\Omega^{-1}}(z,t) \cdot \psi_{v,W}(T_{\bullet}, t_{\bullet})}{z^{3g} \cdot (1, \dots, T_v, \dots 1)}\Big) = 0$$

for all $1 \leq u, v \leq r$. □

5.5. Equations for irreducible polynomial.

In this section equations describing $\mathcal{H}iggs^{\infty}_{X}$ for the case in which the spectral cover $\pi \colon X_{\varphi} \to X$ is totally ramified at $x \in X$ (that is, $\pi^{-1}(x) = ny$) are computed explicitely in terms of the characteristic coefficients of the formal Higgs field.

In our formal setting, to assume this hypothesis implies that the characteristic polynomial of the formal Higgs field is irreducible. So, from now on, assume we are given an irreducible polynomial

$$p(T) = T^n - a_1 T^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n a_n$$

The multiplycation by T in $V_p = k((z))[T]/p(T)$ w.r.t. the basis $\{1, T, \dots, T^{n-1}\}$ corresponds to the matrix:

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 0 & (-1)^{n+1} a_n \\ 1 & \cdot & & & \cdot & (-1)^n a_{n-1} \\ \cdot & 1 & \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & \cdot & 0 & -a_2 \\ 0 & \cdot & & 0 & 1 & a_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

By equation 5.1 we are in the following case:

$$V_p = k((z))[T]/p(T) \xrightarrow{\sim} k((z))[T]/T^n - zu(T) \xrightarrow{\sim} k((T))$$

so that $u(T)$ can be computed explicitely in terms of $a_1, \dots, a_n$. Note in addition that multiplying by T^{-1} makes sense in V_p (but not in V_p^{+}).

On one hand, if $W \in \mathrm{Gr}^m(V_p)$ then its BA-function is given by:

$$\psi_W(T, t_n) = T^{1-m} \sum_{i>0} \psi^{(i)}_{W}(T) p_i(t_n) \tag{5.2}$$

where $\{\psi^{(i)}_{W}(T)\}_i$ is a basis for W and $p_i(t_n)$ are functions in t_n (see remark 5.15 and notice that we are writing t_n for the coordinates of Γ_{V_p}). Observe that the orthogonal $W^{\perp}$ w.r.t. the metric T_2 definied in section 5.2.1 lies on $\mathrm{Gr}^{-m}(V_p)$, therefore:

$$\psi_W^*(T,t_n) = T^{1+m}\sum_{i>0}\psi_W^{*,(i)}(T)p_i^*(t_n) \tag{5.3}$$

where $\{\psi_W^{*,(i)}(T)\}_i$ is a basis for $W^{\perp}$ and $p_i^*(t_n)$ are functions in t_n.

On the other hand, as in section 5.3 we can define the product of the BA-function $\Psi_{\Omega^{-1}}(z,t)$ of $\Omega^{-1}\in\mathrm{Gr}(k((z)))$ by the BA-function $\Psi_W(T,t_n)$ of $W\in\mathrm{Gr}(V_p)$ bearing in mind that the $k((z))$-algebra structure of $V_p \xrightarrow{\sim} k((T))$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} k((z)) &\to k((T)) \\ z &\mapsto T^n u(T)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and the graph is the morphism induced by:

$$\begin{aligned} k[[z]]\otimes_k k[[T]] &\to k[[T]] \\ z\otimes_k T &\mapsto zT \end{aligned}$$

In order to compute explicit equations for $\mathcal{H}iggs_X^{\infty}$, BA-functions will be thought as mere functions on V_p, that is, as polynomials on T with coefficients in $k((z))$. In this fashion, we set:

$$\Psi_W = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\Psi_W(i)T^i\,, \qquad \Psi_W(i)\in k((z)) \tag{5.4}$$

$$\Psi_W^* = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\Psi_W^*(i)T^i\,, \qquad \Psi_W^*(i)\in k((z)) \tag{5.5}$$

Let (E,φ,ϕ) be a rational point of $\mathcal{H}iggs_X^{\infty}$ and

$$p_{\widehat{\varphi}}(T) = T^n - a_1T^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n a_n \in \mathbb{A}(k)$$

its formal characteristic polynomial. Newton-Girard identities (see [7]) express $\mathrm{Tr}(T^k)$ in terms of $a_i = \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda^i T)$ as follows:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(T^k) = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & 1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 2a_2 & a_1 & 1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot & & \\ \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot & \\ ka_k & a_{k-1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & e_1 \end{vmatrix} \in k((z))\,, \qquad \forall k\geq 0 \tag{5.6}$$

where $a_k = 0$ for $k>n$.

Consider now the following stratification of $\mathcal{H}iggs_X^{\infty}$ into connected components:

$$\mathcal{H}iggs_X^{\infty} = \coprod_{\underline{n}}\mathcal{H}iggs_X^{\infty}(\underline{n})$$

where $\mathcal{H}iggs_X^{\infty}(\underline{n})$ denotes the connected component of $\mathcal{H}iggs_X^{\infty}$ for which the spectral cover $\pi\colon X_{\varphi}\to X$ is ramified with partition numbers $\underline{n} = (n_1,\dots,n_r)$ (where $n_1+\dots+n_r = n$), that is to say, $\pi^{-1}(x) = n_1y_1+\dots+n_ry_r$. Note that this stratification is induced by the decomposition of the characteristic polynomial into irreducible factors.

We will write $\mathcal{H}iggs^{\infty}_X(n)$ for the connected componet on which the spectral cover is totally ramified ($\pi^{-1}(x) = ny$).

Theorem 5.23. *Let* $(W, p(T))$ *be a rational point of* $\mathcal{U}^{\infty}_X \times \mathbb{A}$*. Then,* $(W, p(T))$ *lies on the image of* $\mathcal{H}iggs^{\infty}_X(n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\infty}_X \times \mathbb{A}$ *if and only if* $p(T)$ *is irreducible and:*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n-2} \sum_{i+j=k} \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \left(\Psi^*_W(i) \Psi_{\Omega^{-1}} \Psi_W(j) \operatorname{Tr}(T^{k-1}) \right) \frac{dz}{z^{3g}} = 0$$

Proof. Using the expressions 5.2, 5.3, remark 5.15 and theorem 5.22 we get that $(W, p(T))$ lies in the image of $\mathcal{H}iggs^{\infty,n}_X(k) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\infty}_X(k) \times \mathbb{A}(k)$ if and only if:

$$\text{(5.7)} \quad \mathrm{T}_2 \left(T \cdot T^{-1+m} \cdot \psi^*_W(T, t_n), T^{-1-m} \cdot \psi_{\Omega^{-1}}(z, t) \cdot \psi_W(T, t_n) \cdot z^{-3g} \right) = 0$$

In order to make this formula explicit, observe that the k-algebra structure in V_p is nothing but the standard polynomial multiplication mod $p(T)$. So using the polynomial expressions 5.4, 5.5 and the definition of T_2 (see section 5.2.1), the equation 5.7 becomes:

$$\text{(5.8)} \qquad \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \left(\operatorname{Tr}(\sum_{k=0}^{2n-2} \sum_{i+j=k} \Psi^*_W(i) \Psi_{\Omega^{-1}} \Psi_W(j) T^{k-1} z^{-3g}) \right) dz = 0$$

Bearing in mind that the trace map is $k((z))$-linear and the linearity of Res we get the result. □

Remark 5.24. These techniques can also be used to give a different way of computing the equations for the connected component $\mathcal{H}iggs^{\infty}_X(1, \dots, 1)$ (on which the spectral cover is not ramified) treated on [6].

REFERENCES

[1] A. Álvarez Vázquez, *Estructuras aritméticas de las curvas algebraicas.* PhD Thesis, 1996.

[2] A. Alvarez Vázquez, J. M. Muñoz Porras, and F. J. Plaza Martín, *The algebraic formalism of soliton equations over arbitrary base fields*, in Workshop on Abelian Varieties and Theta Functions (Spanish) (Morelia, 1996), vol. 13 of Aportaciones Mat. Investig., Soc. Mat. Mexicana, México, 1998, pp. 3–40.

[3] A. Beauville, M. S. Narasimhan, and S. Ramanan, *Spectral curves and the generalised theta divisor*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 398 (1989), pp. 169–179.

[4] R. Donagi and E. Markman, *Spectral covers, algebraically completely integrable, Hamiltonian systems, and moduli of bundles*, in Integrable systems and quantum groups (Montecatini Terme, 1993), vol. 1620 of Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, Berlin, 1996, pp. 1–119.

[5] N. Hitchin, *Stable bundles and integrable systems*, Duke Math. J., 54 (1987), pp. 91–114.

[6] Y. Li and M. Mulase, *Hitchin systems and KP equations*, Internat. J. Math., 7 (1996), pp. 227–244.

[7] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1979. Oxford Mathematical Monographs.

[8] M. Mulase, *Category of vector bundles on algebraic curves and infinite-dimensional Grassmannians*, Internat. J. Math., 1 (1990), pp. 293–342.

[9] J. M. Muñoz Porras and F. J. Plaza Martín, *Equations of hurwitz schemes in the infinite grassmannian.* Preprint arXiv:math.AG/0207091v3.

[10] ———, *Equations of the moduli of pointed curves in the infinite Grassmannian*, J. Differential Geom., 51 (1999), pp. 431–469.

[11] F. Plaza Martín, *Relative infinite grassmannian.* Work in progress, 2007.

[12] M. Sato and Y. Sato, *Soliton equations as dynamical systems on infinite-dimensional Grassmann manifold*, in Nonlinear partial differential equations in applied science (Tokyo, 1982), vol. 81 of North-Holland Math. Stud., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983, pp. 259–271.

[13] G. Segal and G. Wilson, *Loop groups and equations of KdV type [MR0783348 (87b:58039)]*, in Surveys in differential geometry: integral systems [integrable systems], Surv. Differ. Geom., IV, Int. Press, Boston, MA, 1998, pp. 403–466.

[14] C. T. Simpson, *Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety. II*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., (1994), pp. 5–79 (1995).

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Salamanca, Plaza de la Merced 1-4, 37008 Salamanca. Spain., Tel: +34 923294460. Fax: +34 923294583
E-mail address: `dani@usal.es`
E-mail address: `jmp@usal.es`
E-mail address: `fplaza@usal.es`